

LOS ANGELES INSTITUTE FOR PSYCHOANALYSIS
Education-Committee Meeting

Time: Thursday, March 3, 1966, 7:30 p.m.

Place: 344 North Bedford Drive, Beverly Hills

Minutes of the Meeting

The meeting was called to order at 7:40 p.m. by Dr. Lihn, Chairman. Present were Drs. Brunswick, Evans, Fenichel, Friedman, Greenson, Rangell, Vatz, Van der Heide, and Van Dam for the Committee on Child Analysis.

1. Minutes of the Meeting of February 3, 1966:

The minutes were accepted with the following addition: Dr. Vatz's suggestion that the Education Committee discuss the proposals for reorganization of the Training School made by the Joint Committee was approved.

2. Admissions Committee:

a. Application of Victor Wolfenstein, Ph. D:

Dr. Wolfenstein was accepted for training for research.

3. Candidates:

- a. Dr. Rodman's request for completing his first-year seminar work by correspondence, because he has been drafted, was approved.
- b. Dr. Sanford Shapiro's request to attend Normal Development of the Child was not approved since he is in analysis with Dr. Orr, who has not yet been approved as a geographical rule training analyst.
- c. It has been six months since Dr. Horenstein started a second training analysis; however, the six-month review was postponed till next meeting due to the absence of his training analyst, Dr. Fielding.
- d. It has also been six months since Dr. McCorquodale started a second training analysis with Dr. Van der Heide. The Education Committee agreed to Dr. Van der Heide's request to wait another six months before making any definitive statement.
- e. At Dr. Van der Heide's request, Dr. Kurth was brought up for discussion because he stopped his supervision with Dr. Van der Heide under circumstances about which Dr. Van der Heide had questions and objections. It appears that Dr. Kurth has more than the required number of hours in supervision, is approved to do unsupervised analysis, and discontinued all his case supervision. The three other supervisors reported that Dr. Kurth is handling his cases quite satisfactorily and can be trusted to continue without supervision. In view of Dr. Van der Heide's objections, it was suggested that Dr. Kurth be advised to discuss in consultation with another supervisor the case which Dr. Van der Heide had supervised.
- f. Dr. Purchard has started supervision with Dr. Ekstein.
- g. Dr. Lerner's analysis with Dr. Lihn has been terminated.
- h. Dr. Petrus has started training analysis with Dr. Lihn.

PHILOSOPHY 101

LECTURE 1: THE PHENOMENON OF CONSCIOUSNESS

THE PHENOMENON OF CONSCIOUSNESS

What is the nature of consciousness? Is it a special kind of mental state, or is it a special kind of mental state? This is the central question of the course.

THE PHENOMENON OF CONSCIOUSNESS

The first question is: what is the nature of consciousness? Is it a special kind of mental state, or is it a special kind of mental state? This is the central question of the course.

THE PHENOMENON OF CONSCIOUSNESS

THE PHENOMENON OF CONSCIOUSNESS

The second question is: how is consciousness related to the physical world? This is the central question of the course.

THE PHENOMENON OF CONSCIOUSNESS

The third question is: how is consciousness related to the physical world? This is the central question of the course.

The fourth question is: how is consciousness related to the physical world? This is the central question of the course.

The fifth question is: how is consciousness related to the physical world? This is the central question of the course.

The sixth question is: how is consciousness related to the physical world? This is the central question of the course.

The seventh question is: how is consciousness related to the physical world? This is the central question of the course.

The eighth question is: how is consciousness related to the physical world? This is the central question of the course.

The ninth question is: how is consciousness related to the physical world? This is the central question of the course.

The tenth question is: how is consciousness related to the physical world? This is the central question of the course.

4. Child-Analysis Committee:

Dr. Van Dam announced that he along with Dr. Heinicke will present a paper at the inaugural meeting of the Association for Child Analysis in Topeka.

5. Training Analysts:a. Mrs. Deri - Term as Training Analyst Expires - April, 1966:

Since Mrs. Deri has no candidates in analysis or supervision, she will automatically retire in accord with the retirement procedures adopted by the Education Committee on June 3, 1965.

Motion: Dr. Friedman moved, s/Dr. Evans, that in recognition of her life-long devotion to psychoanalysis, the Education Committee should designate Mrs. Deri Training-Analyst Emeritus.

After discussion of this motion, Dr. Evans withdrew his second and it was decided to place this subject on the agenda of the next meeting for further discussion.

b. Dr. Lewy - Term as Training Analyst Expires - April, 1966:

Since Dr. Lewy has candidates in analysis and supervision, the Education Committee will vote on a one-year term for him at its next meeting.

c. Dr. Orr - Application for Geographical Rule Training Analyst:

A letter from Dr. Charles Kligerman was read. Dr. Kligerman has been appointed Chairman of an ad hoc subcommittee for San Diego by Dr. Eli Marcovitz, Chairman, Committee on New Training Facilities of The American. In his letter Dr. Kligerman suggested, and his suggestion was accepted, that the Chairman and one or two members of the Education Committee meet with Dr. Kligerman's sub-committee at the May meetings of The American to discuss Dr. Orr's application for geographical rule training analyst.

d. Letter from Dr. Friedman:

Motion: Dr. Friedman moved, s/Dr. Vatz, m/c, that the meetings of the Education Committee be opened to the senior faculty members.

Dr. Friedman then suggested that the Education Committee should proceed without delay to work out and put into effect its own reorganization. After discussion it was decided to make the following changes in three points of the Education Committee's proposals for the appointment of new training analysts:

Point 3) delete except those who are ineligible to apply for membership.

Point 5) Ratification would be by a 2/3 majority of training analysts eligible to vote and by a 2/3 majority of the full instructors, by mail ballot, mailed simultaneously to training analysts and senior instructors.

Point 6) The original appointment is for five years and re-election every five years would be by majority vote of training analysts and a majority of the full instructors.

Added: Point 7) Anyone applying for the position of training analyst who does not meet all the above-listed requirements would be subject to the procedures of The American Psychoanalytic Association, and therefore their applications would be presented to the Board on Professional Standards.

Motion: The proposals for appointment of new training analysts shall be voted on at the next meeting of the Education Committee. M/c.

The meeting was adjourned at 10:05 p.m.

Henry Lihn, M. D.
Chairman, Education Committee

HL:jk