

LOS ANGELES INSTITUTE FOR PSYCHOANALYSIS  
Education-Committee Meeting

Time: Thursday, July 7, 1966, 7:30 p.m.

Place: 344 North Bedford Drive, Beverly Hills

Minutes of the Meeting

The meeting was called to order at 7:55 p.m. by Dr. Brunswick, Chairman of the Nominating Committee. Present were Drs. Brunswick, Evans, Fenichel, Fielding, Lihn, McGuire, Van der Heide, and Vatz. Present from the faculty were Drs. Bail, Dorn, Leavitt, Mandel, Rollman-Branch, Rosengarten, Rubin, Sperling, and Walsh.

1. Election of Chairman of the Education Committee:

Dr. Brunswick reported that the Nominating Committee had been unable to find anyone to accept the Chairmanship of the Education Committee at the present time; the Nominating Committee had asked two people; one had refused and the other could not accept at the moment for personal reasons. Therefore the Committee approached the problem by recommending an Acting Dean be elected until the September meeting. Dr. Vatz was nominated and elected Acting Dean by a vote of 7 to 0.

2. Minutes of the Meeting of June 2, 1966:

The minutes of the meeting of June 2, 1966, were accepted as distributed.

3. Candidates:

- a. Dr. Bender has started supervision with Dr. Ekstein.
- b. Dr. Migel has started supervision with Dr. Brunswick.
- c. Dr. Berent passed Colloquium II but with difficulty. The Chairman was instructed to discuss with Dr. Berent the remarks of his Colloquium examiners.
- d. Dr. Kurth passed Colloquium II.
- e. Dr. Patterson passed Colloquium II.
- f. Dr. Rappaport passed Colloquium II.
- g. Dr. Gottlieb's request for a fourth supervised case was approved.
- h. Dr. Mendelsohn's request for a fourth supervised case was approved.
- i. Dr. Tedesco's request for an unsupervised case was approved.

4. Curriculum Committee:

a. Assignment of Courses:

Dr. Van der Heide summarized the discussion of the May 12, 1966, meeting of the Education Committee in regard to the selection of instructors for the fall, 1966, trimester. The issues raised at that meeting were as follows: 1) Were the proposed instructors the selection of the entire Curriculum Committee. 2) Some of the

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO  
DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY

CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

DATE

TO

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

selected instructors seem to be those with a particular point of view which departs from the basic psychoanalytic concepts.. 3) Some experienced instructors had not been asked to teach their courses again.

The discussion that followed revolved around the following controversy: shall psychoanalysis be taught historically, starting with the nucleus of classical ideas in the first years and bringing the newer concepts into the curriculum when the candidates are more advanced in their training, or shall it be assumed that psychoanalysis has changed so much that the newer concepts should be synthesized in the curriculum and taught from the very beginning.

Motion: Dr. Van der Heide moved, s/Dr. Brunswick, m/c, that following this thorough discussion of the methods of teaching analysis in connection with the assignment of instructors for the fall trimester, 1966, the Chairman of the Curriculum Committee meet with all members of the Committee to review the possibility of modifying the assignments.

Along with the motion, the following amendment was accepted:

Amendment: The findings of a full meeting of the Curriculum Committee should be submitted to the Acting Chairman of the Education Committee. The vote on the motion and the amendment was 5 to 0.

5. New Business:

a. Letter from Dr. Ekstein Regarding the Limit on Number of Candidates in Analysis With a Training Analyst:

A letter from Dr. Ekstein was read requesting that either the ruling limiting the number of candidates in analysis with a training analyst to four be rescinded or that Dr. Ekstein be given permission to take another candidate into analysis even though he is at present analyzing four candidates.

No decision was reached regarding Dr. Ekstein's request; and at the suggestion of the Chairman, Dr. Ekstein's letter will be distributed to the Education Committee and will be discussed again at the September meeting of the Education Committee.

In connection with this Dr. Lihn requested that the rule that states that training analysts may not take as patients psychiatrists who are not candidates be rescinded.

Motion: Dr. Lihn moved, s/Dr. Fielding, m/c, that the rule regarding analysts taking psychiatrists who are not candidates into analysis be abolished. The vote was 6 to 0 in favor.

The meeting was adjourned at 9:45 p.m.

Jack A. Vatz, M. D.  
Acting Chairman

Faint header text at the top of the page, possibly including a date or reference number.

First main paragraph of text, containing several lines of faint, illegible characters.

Second main paragraph of text, continuing the faint, illegible content.

Third main paragraph of text, with a horizontal line visible above it.

Fourth main paragraph of text, appearing as a block of faint characters.

Fifth main paragraph of text, located near the bottom of the page.