

letters submitted to our group by Dr. Rouss with
request to co-sign. COPY

This was refused; brief other letter was signed

April 3, 1950.

[cf. EC minutes
4-6-50]

Dr. Edward Bibring,
82 Marlborough Street,
Boston 16, Massachusetts.

Dear Dr. Bibring:

The undersigned, who have applied to the American Psycho-
analytic Association for the formation of another Institute in Los
Angeles, in order to complete the procedure, are inclosing herein a
copy of the proposed three year curriculum, and the curricula vitae
of the training analysts. We wish, however, to bring to your atten-
tion that this proposed institute represents simply a division from
the already existing Institute. The four training analysts are members
of the existing Institute. Three of them have been training analysts
and members of the Educational Committee since the inception of the Los
Angeles Institute. Since this is, therefore, only technically, rather
than actually, a "new" Institute, we trust that this matter can be ex-
pedited so that it can be approved by the Board of Professional Standards
and the Council at the April meeting. In view of the local arrangements
in the present Los Angeles Institute for training and teaching, planned
for the fall semester, formal separation into two Institutes is a matter
of considerable urgency for the benefit of the faculties and students
of both groups, and requires action at the April meeting.

Thanking you for your cooperation,

Sincerely,

Split - rep

C O P Y

April 3, 1950.

Dr. Edward Bibring,
82 Marlborough Street,
Boston 16, Massachusetts.

Dear Dr. Bibring:

The enclosed letter requesting that prompt action be taken in approving the formation of another Institute in Los Angeles, is endorsed by the Educational Committee and all the training analysts of the Los Angeles Psychoanalytic Institute.