Dear May:

This is to acknowledge receipt of your letter of April 12 and the enclosed documents, namely copy of your letter of April 6 to Dr. Edward Bibring, and copy of the statement for the candidates' meeting of March 3.

I am glad to have this material and have read it carefully. It is very regrettable that the candidates in training have to sweat out such a split, for it must be extremely confusing to them. My first reaction would be that it was better that the opposing groups of teachers did not appear at a meeting of the candidates, for this would have exposed the students to exchanges of challenge and animosity between their teachers in a way which might have been avoided, as it was, by the presentation of a statement from one side. As a matter of fact, it might be well for your group to prepare a careful counter statement to the one of which you sent me a copy, and to send copies of that counter statement, with all points made in the statement answered, so that both sides of the controversy can be evaluated by the Committee on Institutes and by the Board of Professional Standards.

RPK/hh

Sincerely yours,

Robert P. Knight, M. D.

Chairman

Dr. May E. Romm 9629 Brighton Way Beverly Hills, California