

EXCERPT OF MINUTES OF EDUCATION COMMITTEE MEETING OF FEBRUARY 2, 1950:

"At this point it was decided to proceed with the discussion of the proposal to reorganize the Training School along the lines of the London Institute:

"Copies of the tentative plan had been mailed to the E.C. Dr. Lewy stated that a rift has existed for a long time - partly due to very serious scientific differences and partly due to personal factors. The work of the EC has been greatly hampered by this discord and ways have to be found to alleviate these difficulties. He felt that the first step would be to come out in the open and state that such disagreements existed. The students, of course, are not unaware of these differences and are confused and disturbed about them. At this point it would be unfair to the students to let happen what happened in Philadelphia - a complete split-up. Dr. Lewy felt that if everyone were willing to cooperate a way could be found to solve this problem without a complete split-up, which would cause a number of technical difficulties and great hardship on the students. He felt that the only and best way for practical and other reasons would be to attempt something similar to the way the differences of opinion have been solved at the London Institute.

"At Dr. Miller's request, Dr. Lewy explained the groupings and the organization of the London Institute. If a similar program were followed at the Los Angeles Institute, there would be two sub-committees consisting of those who agreed on certain points and felt they could work together successfully. Each of these groups would have their own training program; but there would be the possibility that some of the lectures and seminars would be attended by students of both groups. The EC should be retained as an over-all executive committee of the Institute, and would retain certain prerogatives and functions, such as the selection of training analysts, etc. All this would have to be worked out in detail. The students would be informed as to the differences of opinion of the two groups and would make their choice accordingly. Of course, those students who are in analysis would be fixed, at least temporarily.

"Various aspects of the London Plan and the differences of opinion between the members of the EC were discussed in detail. Most of the discussion centered around the point of whether it was possible to stay together as one unit, organized along lines similar to the London Institute's. Dr. Miller felt that it was important to work out whatever arrangements would be made in such a way so that the students' credentials, plans and careers would not be affected. Dr. Miller stated that in his opinion there was only one solution to the difficulties and that was a complete split-up. Two institutes could be functioning and the position of candidates in training could be worked out in an amicable manner. Dr. Lewy felt that in the interest of the students it was important to attempt a re-organization rather than a complete split-up. Dr. Romm felt that if there were one institute with this type of division the confusion among the students would be even greater; however, if there are two separate institutes, there is no reason why relations should not be most amicable."

EXCERPT OF MINUTES OF EDUCATION COMMITTEE MEETING ON FEBRUARY 2, 1950:

".....that the group which split away was technically still connected with the old institute until recognition is made. Dr. Brunswick suggested that this would mean that the split was to be de facto but not de jure."

EXCERPT OF MINUTES OF EDUCATION COMMITTEE MEETING ON FEBRUARY 13, 1950:

".....It was agreed to continue formally under the auspices of the present institute until the other institute is recognized by the APA."

".....several of the members feeling that they would be willing to vote for Dr. Levy only in order to help the other institute to meet the requirement of four training analysts, but would otherwise vote against him.It was agreed that the newly appointed training analysts could have no vote until the separation had been officially recognized by the APA, but that they could come to meetings and accept candidates for training. Until the separation is official there will be no election to the EC."

"Dr. Miller brought up the question of financial support for the other institute, and it was agreed that the other institute be given 3/7 of the treasury at the time that institute is officially recognized. Dr. Tidd pointed out that legally the present institute cannot transfer funds except to another non-profit organization."

"There was also discussion about the library and it was suggested that the Ernst Simmel library remain intact in the present institute and the 3/7 of the cost of the library be added to the amount the other institute will receive. Mrs. Deri pointed out that all students will be free to use either library."

"It was mentioned that only the legal members of the Institute, the incorporators, were enabled to vote on institute matters; these members are Drs. Brunswick, Levy, Romm and Tidd."