

Meeting at EL's, Sunday, 1-15-50

NOTES ON REORGANIZATION PLAN

1) Need for split

a) Scientific differences; in particular the genetic point of view, working through, the structural changes to be achieved, etc.

b) Personal differences

Mistrust, hostility, gossip, influence on candidates

c) Combinations of both

2) The opinions of Hoffer and Knight as to London Plan versus complete split

3) The technical question of training of candidates during the transition if a split were to become necessary

4) The need to formulate precisely what we consider basic in psychoanalysis and what the others disagree with or do not understand

5) Our stand on lay analysis will comply with the A.P.A. standards, in particular with the method used by the San Francisco Society.

6) Drs. Tidd, McGuire, Kupper, Crutcher should be informed of our ideas

Brunswick and Lewy will speak to both Tidd and McGuire *respectively (EL)*

Rahman and Evans will speak with Crutcher, after she has become a member

Brunswick and Greenson will speak with Kupper

7) Sarlin will be contacted only after we have come to some agreement *(done by EL)*

Van der Heide and Frunkes remain questionable. This shall be discussed again.

Futterman would only be acceptable if he had more analysis

All the others are considered impossible for our group.

*(EL spoke with
Hollister
VAH)*

- 8) The plan for reorganization shall be put on the agenda of the Education Committee meeting.
- 9) The name of the new group, if this comes to pass, would be Southern California Psychoanalytic Society and Institute
- 10) In the by-laws of the new group there should be some statement that membership will be by invitation only.